

PANSA	Initial NPP
-------	-------------

Number of capex	14
-----------------	----

Name of capex 1	Radio location system
Description	Radars: S-E, MSSR N-E, ASR-10 Warszawa, N-E, Kraków, MLAT Poznań Wrocław, MLAT Kraków Katowice; modernization of radars: Gdańsk, Katowice, MSSR Rzeszów; ADS-B Receiver -Modlin, Szczecin, Lublin
Accountable entity	ANSP-PANSA

Justification of the cost, nature and contribution		
Differentiation	New system	Modernization of existing systems and development through the purchase of new radars
Replacement investment	Yes	
Common project	No	
Other investment (in line with interoperability Regulations, the IDP, Master Plan essentials or the NSP)	Yes	ATM MP : CTE-S5, CTE-S9, CTE-S1a, CTE-S1b
Joint investment	No	
Synergies achieved at FAB level or other MS	Yes	This project is a part of (2.3) Implementation Plan
Consultation with stakeholders	Yes	9th and 14th May 2014
Decision-making process	Yes	Radars replacement has been performed due to end-of-life of existing radars. ADS-B provides an alternative surveillance layer

KPA	Impact	Expected benefits per KPA	Date of expected benefits	Area <En-route/Terminal/Airport/Phases>
Safety	Yes	Precise identification and determination of the position of aircraft with surveillance information continuity, enable to provide continuous coverage of the area and maintain the current level of security with an increasing level of air traffic.	2016-2017	En route
Environment	Yes	The use of new technologies will reduce the level of transmission of electromagnetic waves and reduce electricity consumption	2016-2017	En route
Capacity	Yes	Determine the position of the aircraft along with ensuring continuity of information, surveillance, can reduce the separation of aircraft and leads to increased capacity and reduce delays in air traffic.	2016-2017	En route
Cost efficiency	Yes	Maintaining and developing surveillance infrastructure, increased the number of aircraft operated. Analysis of the investment costs and possible operational Yes and technical solutions lead to the selection of a solution requires the least cost in complying with the operational requirements	2016-2017	En route

Name of capex 2	Ground stations
Description	Ground stations Włocławek, Drezdenko,
Accountable entity	ANSP-PANSA

Justification of the cost, nature and contribution		
Differentiation	New system	
Replacement investment	No	
Common project	No	
Other investment (in line with interoperability Regulations, the IDP, Master Plan essentials or the NSP)	Yes	ATM MP: CTE-C11b, CTE-C5
Joint investment	No	
Synergies achieved at FAB level or other MS	No	
Consultation with stakeholders	Yes	9th and 14th May 2014
Decision-making process	Yes	Separation of the functions of transmitting and receiving

KPA	Impact	Expected benefits per KPA	Date of expected benefits	Area <En-route/Terminal/Airport/Phases>
Safety	Yes	Separation of the functions of transmitting and receiving in the Ground stations, will reduce the interference of waves, causing the cut frequency to reduce the number of usable channels	2015-2016	En-route
Environment	Yes	Reducing consumption energy for retrofitted and new equipment	2015-2016	En-route
Capacity	Yes	Increasing the number of frequencies in the Ground station, will increase the number of sectors possible to handle, which in turn helps to reduce air traffic delays	2015-2016	En-route

PANSA	Revision of National Performance Plan (NPP)
-------	---

Number of capex	14
-----------------	----

Name of capex 1	Radio location system
Description	MSSR Mode S Radars: S-E, N-E, N-W, Rzeszów, PSR/MSSR Mode S Warszawa, Kraków, Gdańsk, Katowice, MSSR Rzeszów; mobile radar MSSR Mode S, WAM Gdansk, WAM FIR Warszawa
Accountable entity	ANSP-PANSA

Justification of the cost, nature and contribution		
Differentiation	New system	SUR coverage optimization plan combined with radars replacement due to end-of-life of existing radars and gradual implementation of alternative SUR technologies - WAM/ADS-B.
Replacement investment	Yes	
Common project	No	
Other investment (in line with interoperability Regulations, the IDP, Master Plan essentials or the NSP)	Yes	ACID IR - (EU) No 1206/2011; OI Step ATM MP: CNS-0003-A; Enabler ATM MP: CTE-S05, CTE-SGOV02, Deployment Package: DP1.1; LSSIP: ITY-ACID
Joint investment	No	
Synergies achieved at FAB level or other MS	Yes	
Consultation with stakeholders	Yes	19th May 2016
Decision-making process	Yes	Strategic SUR development plan and investment plan, based on revised operational requirements and current system assessment.

KPA	Impact	Expected benefits per KPA	Date of expected benefits	Area <En-route/Terminal/Airport/Phases>
Safety	Yes	Precise identification and determination of the position of aircraft with surveillance information continuity, enable to provide continuous coverage of the area and maintain the current level of security with an increasing level of air traffic.	2016-2020+	En-route/Terminal
Environment	Yes	The use of new technologies and optimized coverage will reduce the level of transmission of electromagnetic waves and reduce electricity consumption	2016-2020+	En-route/Terminal
Capacity	Yes	Increased precision of aircraft position determination along with ensuring continuity of surveillance information, allows to reduce the separation of aircraft and leads to increased capacity and reduced delays in air traffic.	2016-2020+	En-route/Terminal
Cost efficiency	Yes	Cost savings, complying with the operational requirements, with optimized coverage combined with use of alternative SUR technologies	2016-2020+	En-route/Terminal

Name of capex 2	Ground stations
Description	Ground stations 30 pieces in different locations (Warszawa, Poznań, Rzeszów, Przedbórz, Konin, Tarnobrzeg, Brzesko, Piła, Wrocław, Chojnice, Radom, Lidzbark, Krotoszyn, Kraśnik, Wyszków, etc.)
Accountable entity	ANSP-PANSA

Justification of the cost, nature and contribution		
Differentiation	New system	
Replacement investment	No	
Common project	No	
Other investment (in line with interoperability Regulations, the IDP, Master Plan essentials or the NSP)	Yes	ATM MP: OI Steps: CNS-0001-A; LSSIP: COM11, ITY-AGDL, ITY-FMTP
Joint investment	No	
Synergies achieved at FAB level or other MS	No	
Consultation with stakeholders	Yes	19th May 2016
Decision-making process	Yes	Further improvements of the radio coverage for the coming next airspace reorganization (3 layers); modernization of the radio site infrastructure by the separation of the Rx and Tx basement hardware (frequency inferences)

KPA	Impact	Expected benefits per KPA	Date of expected benefits	Area <En-route/Terminal/Airport/Phases>
Safety	Yes	Separation of the functions of transmitting and receiving in the Ground stations, will reduce the interference of waves, causing the cut frequency to reduce the number of usable channels	2015-2016	En-route
Environment	Yes	Reducing consumption energy for retrofitted and new equipment	2015-2016	En-route
Capacity	Yes	Increasing the number of radio sites and operational frequencies, will increase the radio coverage with growing number of sectors possible to handle	2015-2016	En-route

PANSA		Initial NPP		
Number of capex	14			
Cost efficiency	Yes	Implementation of new technologies in the COM area allows to reduce the operating costs of systems. Separation of the functions of transmitting and receiving in the Ground station, reducing the number needed to build the facilities, which in turn will reduce operating costs	2015-2016	En-route

Name of capex 3	ATC training and contingency infrastructure
Description	Infrastructure investments
Accountable entity	ANSP-PANSA

Justification of the cost, nature and contribution				
Differentiation	New system			
Replacement investment	No			
Common project	No			
Other investment (in line with interoperability Regulations, the IDP, Master Plan essentials or the NSP)	No			
Joint investment	No			
Synergies achieved at FAB level or other MS	No			
Consultation with stakeholders	Yes	9th and 14th May 2014		
Decision-making process	Yes	In order to safeguard a continuity of air navigation services provision in a long-term perspective and with the aim to reduce APP control centres in FIR EPWW.		

KPA	Impact	Expected benefits per KPA	Date of expected benefits	Area <En-route/Terminal/Airport/Phases>
Safety	Yes	To ensure the continuity of services	2015-2019	En-route
Environment	Yes	Implementation of energy saving solutions possible to used in the building.		
Capacity	Yes	To ensure the continuity of services	2015-2019	En-route
Cost efficiency	No			

Name of capex 4	DVOR/DME Infrastructure
Description	DME Wielun, Olsztyń, Działyn, DVOR/ DME Okęcie, Poznań
Accountable entity	ANSP-PANSA

Justification of the cost, nature and contribution				
Differentiation	Overhaul of existing system			
Replacement investment	Yes			
Common project	No			
Other investment (in line with interoperability Regulations, the IDP, Master Plan essentials or the NSP)	Yes	ATM MP: AOM-0601, AOM-0602, CTE-N5a		
Joint investment	No			
Synergies achieved at FAB level or other MS	Yes	This project is a part of (2.3) Implementation Plan		
Consultation with stakeholders	Yes	9th and 14th May 2014		
Decision-making process	Yes	Fulfill the requirements of navigation coverage.		

KPA	Impact	Expected benefits per KPA	Date of expected benefits	Area <En-route/Terminal/Airport/Phases>
Safety	Yes	Fulfill the requirements of navigation coverage. Multiple coverage of the beacon signal, will increase the accuracy of the aircraft location and to provide redundancy radionavigation coverage.	2016,2017, 2019	En-route/ Terminal
Environment	Yes	The flexibility to design procedures for fluent air traffic management and reduction trajectory	2016,2017, 2019	En-route/ Terminal

PANSA		Revision of National Performance Plan (NPP)		
Number of capex	14			
Cost efficiency	Yes	Implementation of new technologies in the COM area allows to reduce the operating costs of systems. Separation of the functions of transmitting and receiving in the Ground station, reducing the number needed to build the facilities, which in turn will reduce operating costs	2015-2016	En-route

Name of capex 3	ATC training and contingency infrastructure
Description	Extension of PANSA's training capabilities (new modern training center) and Business Continuity improvements (incl. iTEC infrastructure).
Accountable entity	ANSP-PANSA

Justification of the cost, nature and contribution				
Differentiation	New system			
Replacement investment	No			
Common project	No			
Other investment (in line with interoperability Regulations, the IDP, Master Plan essentials or the NSP)	No			
Joint investment	No			
Synergies achieved at FAB level or other MS	No			
Consultation with stakeholders	Yes	19th May 2016		
Decision-making process	Yes	Objective - to ensure continuity of air navigation services provision in FIR EPWW for ACC, APPs, FIS, AMC and new ATM system development (i-TEC).		

KPA	Impact	Expected benefits per KPA	Date of expected benefits	Area <En-route/Terminal/Airport/Phases>
Safety	Yes	To ensure the continuity of services	a) 2017-2020 2021+	a) En-route /Warsaw TMA b) En-route /Terminal /EPMO & EPWA, EPGD, EPPO & EPZG, EPKK & EPKT, /FIS /AMC
Environment	Yes	Implementation of energy saving solutions possible to used in the building.	2021+	
Capacity	Yes	Crutial to ensure the ATM services continuity as well as for i-TEC development. To ensure smooth capacity recovery during contingencies.	a) 2017-2020 2021+	a) ACC c.a. 30-50%, APP WA c.a. 40-70% b) at least 70% En-route & Terminal / at least 50% Airports
Cost efficiency	No	Optimized use of existing infrastructure and estate. Reduced maintenance and training costs due to unification of CNS systems,	2021+	

Name of capex 4	DVOR/DME Infrastructure
Description	DVOR/DME TMA Warszawa, TMA Gdańsk, DVOR/DME Radom, Czempin, Szymany, Okęcie, Poznań, DME Płońsk, Kmiecin, Karnice DME-OR Działny, Karnice, Olsztyń
Accountable entity	ANSP-PANSA

Justification of the cost, nature and contribution				
Differentiation	Overhaul of existing system			
Replacement investment	Yes			
Common project	No			
Other investment (in line with interoperability Regulations, the IDP, Master Plan essentials or the NSP)	Yes	ATM MP: AOM-0601, AOM-0602, OI Step: CNS-002-A; Enablers: CTE-N08, CTE-N12, CTE-NGOV01; LSSIP:NAV03		
Joint investment	No			
Synergies achieved at FAB level or other MS	Yes	This project is a part of (2.3) Implementation Plan		
Consultation with stakeholders	Yes	19th May 2016		
Decision-making process	Yes	Fulfill the requirements of navigation coverage.		

KPA	Impact	Expected benefits per KPA	Date of expected benefits	Area <En-route/Terminal/Airport/Phases>
Safety	Yes	Fulfill the requirements of navigation coverage. Multiple coverage of the beacon signal, will increase the accuracy of the aircraft location and to provide redundancy radionavigation coverage.	2016,2017, 2019	En-route/ Terminal
Environment	Yes	The flexibility to design procedures for fluent air traffic management and reduction trajectory	2016,2017, 2019	En-route/ Terminal

PANSA		Initial NPP		
Number of capex	14			
Capacity	Yes	Increase the accuracy of the position of the aircraft by increasing the coverage of the navigation will allow more flexible airways and flight procedures. Minimizing delays in air traffic by increasing the precision of determining the position of the aircraft	2016,2017, 2019	En-route/ Terminal
Cost efficiency	Yes	Transition from the use of the DVOR / DME to the DME which is a cheap technology, will reduce infrastructure maintenance costs. Coverage of the RNP1 is much cheaper than RNPs, also due to the increased accuracy of the location of the aircraft, reducing delays and generated support for air traffic services	2016,2017, 2019	En-route/ Terminal

PANSA		Revision of National Performance Plan (NPP)		
Number of capex	14			
Capacity	Yes	Increase the accuracy of the position of the aircraft by increasing the coverage of the navigation will allow more flexible airways and flight procedures. Minimizing delays in air traffic by increasing the precision of determining the position of the aircraft	2016,2017, 2019	En-route/ Terminal
Cost efficiency	Yes	Transition from the use of the DVOR / DME to the DME which is a cheap technology, will reduce infrastructure maintenance costs. Coverage of the RNP1 is much cheaper than RNPs, also due to the increased accuracy of the location of the aircraft, reducing delays and generated support for air traffic services	2016,2017, 2019	En-route/ Terminal

Name of capex 5	Towers
Description	TWR (Towers): Katowice, Krakow, Poznan, Modlin;
Accountable entity	ANSP-PANSA

Name of capex 5	Towers
Description	TWR (Towers): Warszawa, Kraków, Lublin, Modlin, Poznań, Katowice, remote tower, modernization of existing TWRs
Accountable entity	ANSP-PANSA

Justification of the cost, nature and contribution				
Differentiation	New system			
Replacement investment	No			
Common project	No			
Other investment (in line with interoperability Regulations, the IDP, Master Plan essentials or the NSP)	Yes	ATM MP; SDM-0201		
Joint investment	No			
Synergies achieved at FAB level or other MS	Yes	This project is a part of (2.2) Implementation Plan		
Consultation with stakeholders	Yes	9th and 14th May 2014		
Decision-making process	Yes	Opportunity to develop services adequate to the level of traffic.		

Justification of the cost, nature and contribution				
Differentiation	New system			
Replacement investment	No			
Common project	No			
Other investment (in line with interoperability Regulations, the IDP, Master Plan essentials or the NSP)	Yes	ATM MP OI step; SDM-0201		
Joint investment	No			
Synergies achieved at FAB level or other MS	Yes	This project is a part of (2.2) Implementation Plan		
Consultation with stakeholders	Yes	19th May 2016		
Decision-making process	Yes	Opportunity to develop services adequate to the level of traffic.		

KPA	Impact	Expected benefits per KPA	Date of expected benefits	Area <En-route/Terminal/Airport/Phases>
Safety	Yes	Fit build parameters to the characteristics of the airport and needs in the provision of aerodrome control services Opportunity to develop services adequate to the level of traffic.	2015, 2017,2018	En-route/ Terminal
Environment	No			
Capacity	Yes	Opportunity to develop services adequate to the level of traffic.	2015, 2017,2018	En-route/ Terminal
Cost efficiency	Yes	Increasing cost efficiency resulting from leaving the airport services TWR. Reduction in demand for supporting staff. More efficient use of human resources (ATCOs and AFISOs), especially by serving multiple airports with medium to low traffic levels from a centralised location.	2015, 2017,2018	En-route/ Terminal

KPA	Impact	Expected benefits per KPA	Date of expected benefits	Area <En-route/Terminal/Airport/Phases>
Safety	Yes	Fit build parameters to the characteristics of the airport and needs in the provision of aerodrome control services Opportunity to develop services adequate to the level of traffic.	2015, 2017,2018	En-route/ Terminal
Environment	No			
Capacity	Yes	Opportunity to develop services adequate to the level of traffic.	2015, 2017,2018	En-route/ Terminal
Cost efficiency	Yes	Increasing cost efficiency resulting from leaving the airport services TWR. Reduction in demand for supporting staff. More efficient use of human resources (ATCOs and AFISOs), especially by serving multiple airports with medium to low traffic levels from a centralised location.	2015, 2017,2018	En-route/ Terminal

Name of capex 6	ERP Enterprise Resource Planning system
Description	ERP Enterprise Resource Planning system
Accountable entity	ANSP-PANSA

Name of capex 6	ERP Enterprise Resource Planning system
Description	ERP Enterprise Resource Planning system
Accountable entity	ANSP-PANSA

Justification of the cost, nature and contribution				
Differentiation	New system			
Replacement investment	No			
Common project	No			
Other investment (in line with interoperability Regulations, the IDP, Master Plan essentials or the NSP)	No			
Joint investment	No			
Synergies achieved at FAB level or other MS	No			
Consultation with stakeholders	Yes	9th and 14th May 2014		
Decision-making process	Yes	Investment plan elaboration procedure. Opportunity to improve the quality of the management process.		

Justification of the cost, nature and contribution				
Differentiation	New system			
Replacement investment	No	Reprioritised. Put away on 2017 because of the necessity of further audit of organizational needs.		
Common project	No			
Other investment (in line with interoperability Regulations, the IDP, Master Plan essentials or the NSP)	No			
Joint investment	No			
Synergies achieved at FAB level or other MS	No			
Consultation with stakeholders	Yes	19th May 2016		
Decision-making process	Yes	Investment plan elaboration procedure. Opportunity to improve the quality of the management process.		

KPA	Impact	Expected benefits per KPA	Date of expected benefits	Area <En-route/Terminal/Airport/Phases>
Safety	No			
Environment	No			
Capacity	No			

KPA	Impact	Expected benefits per KPA	Date of expected benefits	Area <En-route/Terminal/Airport/Phases>
Safety	No			
Environment	No			
Capacity	No			

PANSA	Initial NPP			
Number of capex	14			
Cost efficiency	Yes	Ability to efficiently allocate human resources and define the possible bottlenecks. Improving the process of planning, controlling, costs allocating and create financial forecasts.	2016	

PANSA	Revision of National Performance Plan (NPP)			
Number of capex	14			
Cost efficiency	Yes	Ability to efficiently allocate human resources and define the possible bottlenecks. Improving the process of planning, controlling, costs allocating and create financial forecasts.	2019	

Name of capex 7	ILS/DME Infrastructure			
Description	ILS/DME Rzeszow, Gdansk, Bydgoszcz, Lodz, Poznan, Krakow, Warszawa (FFM)			
Accountable entity	ANSP-PANSA			

Name of capex 7	ILS/DME Infrastructure			
Description	ILS/DME Rzeszow, Gdansk, Bydgoszcz, Lodz, Poznan, Krakow, Warszawa (FFM), Katowice			
Accountable entity	ANSP-PANSA			

Justification of the cost, nature and contribution				
Differentiation	Overhaul of existing system			
Replacement investment	Yes			
Common project	No			
Other investment (in line with interoperability Regulations, the IDP, Master Plan essentials or	Yes	ATM MP : CTE-N6		
Joint investment	No			
Synergies achieved at FAB level or other MS	Yes	This project is a part of (2.3) Implementation Plan		
Consultation with stakeholders	Yes	9th and 14th May 2014		
Decision-making process	Yes	Replacement of the ILS system is required due to end-of-life of the current system		

Justification of the cost, nature and contribution				
Differentiation	Overhaul of existing system			
Replacement investment	Yes			
Common project	No			
Other investment (in line with interoperability Regulations, the IDP, Master Plan essentials or	Yes	ATM MP : OI Step: CNS-0002-A; Enabler: CTE-N14		
Joint investment	No			
Synergies achieved at FAB level or other MS	Yes	This project is a part of (2.3) Implementation Plan		
Consultation with stakeholders	Yes	19th May 2016		
Decision-making process	Yes	Replacement of the ILS system is required due to end-of-life of the current system also to improve to higher level of ILS.		

KPA	Impact	Expected benefits per KPA	Date of expected benefits	Area <En-route/Terminal/Airport/Phases>
Safety	Yes	Exchange of exploited ILS systems will increase safety - new equipment are generally more reliable. Increasing the accuracy of the aircraft location can navigate the aircraft with the prescribed rate on the path of approach in low visibility. Provide by instrument approach for depending on the E462 minimize the amount on which the decision is made whether to interrupted the approach to landing. The Airport with ILS system, are able to service the aircraft in bad weather conditions.	2015-2017	En-route/Terminal
Environment	Yes	Possibility of flexible design flight procedures, generating environmental effects (reduction emissions etc.)	2015-2017	En-route/Terminal
Capacity	Yes	Airport facilities in the ILS can reduce separation between landing aircraft and making possible to increase the number of landings.	2015-2017	En-route/Terminal
Cost efficiency	Yes	Airport facilities in the ILS allows to increase the number of aircraft to be serviced even under adverse weather conditions, which generates income for both ANSPs and Airport. Simultaneously are decreasing costs and delays associated with the return of aircraft to the another airport in heavy weather.	2015-2017	En-route/Terminal

KPA	Impact	Expected benefits per KPA	Date of expected benefits	Area <En-route/Terminal/Airport/Phases>
Safety	Yes	Exchange of exploited ILS systems will increase safety - new equipment are generally more reliable. Increasing the accuracy of the aircraft location can navigate the aircraft with the prescribed rate on the path of approach in low visibility. Provide by instrument approach for depending on the E462 minimize the amount on which the decision is made whether to interrupted the approach to landing. The Airport with ILS system, are able to service the aircraft in bad weather conditions.	2015-2017	En-route/Terminal
Environment	Yes	Possibility of flexible design flight procedures, generating environmental effects (reduction emissions etc.)	2015-2017	En-route/Terminal
Capacity	Yes	Airport facilities in the ILS can reduce separation between landing aircraft and making possible to increase the number of landings.	2015-2017	En-route/Terminal
Cost efficiency	Yes	Airport facilities in the ILS allows to increase the number of aircraft to be serviced even under adverse weather conditions, which generates income for both ANSPs and Airport. Simultaneously are decreasing costs and delays associated with the return of aircraft to the another airport in heavy weather.	2015-2017	En-route/Terminal

Name of capex 8	Implementation of 8,33 kHz channel separation below FL195			
Description				
Accountable entity	ANSP-PANSA			

Name of capex 8	Implementation of 8,33 kHz channel separation below FL195			
Description	Implementation of 8,33 kHz channel separation below FL195			
Accountable entity	ANSP-PANSA			

Justification of the cost, nature and contribution				
Differentiation	New system			
Replacement investment	No			
Common project	No			
Other investment (in line with interoperability Regulations, the IDP, Master Plan essentials or	Yes	ATM MP: CTE-C5		
Joint investment	No			
Synergies achieved at FAB level or other MS	No			
Consultation with stakeholders	Yes	9th and 14th May 2014		
Decision-making process	Yes	Due to EU regulation nr 1079/2012 16.11.2012. Achievement of technical ability to implement a new separation below FL195.		

Justification of the cost, nature and contribution				
Differentiation	New system			
Replacement investment	No			
Common project	No			
Other investment (in line with interoperability Regulations, the IDP, Master Plan essentials or	Yes	ATM MP: OI Step: CNS-0001-A; Enabler: CTE-C07b; LSSIP Objectives: ITY-AGVCS2		
Joint investment	No			
Synergies achieved at FAB level or other MS	No			
Consultation with stakeholders	Yes	19th May 2016		
Decision-making process	Yes	Due to EU regulation nr 1079/2012 16.11.2012. Achievement by the February 2018 a technical readiness to implement a new radio channel 8,33kHz separation below FL195.		

KPA	Impact	Expected benefits per KPA	Date of expected benefits	Area <En-route/Terminal/Airport/Phases>
Safety	Yes	Reliability of radio-communication system	2017	En-route/Terminal
Environment	No			
Capacity	Yes	Additional transmission channels used to provide the service due to on voice necessity of handle generating level of traffic	2017	En-route/Terminal
Cost efficiency	No			

KPA	Impact	Expected benefits per KPA	Date of expected benefits	Area <En-route/Terminal/Airport/Phases>
Safety	Yes	Reliability of radio-communication system	2017	En-route/Terminal
Environment	No			
Capacity	Yes	Additional transmission channels used to provide the service due to on voice necessity of handle generating level of traffic. Increased capacity by satisfying the demand for new frequency.	2017	En-route/Terminal
Cost efficiency	No			

PANSA	Initial NPP
-------	-------------

Number of capex	14
-----------------	----

Name of capex 9	MLAT Poznań, Wrocław, Kraków, Katowice
Description	
Accountable entity	ANSP-PANSA

Justification of the cost, nature and contribution		
Differentiation	New system	
Replacement investment	No	
Common project	No	
Other investment (in line with interoperability Regulations, the IDP, Master Plan essentials or the NSP)	Yes	ATM MP: CTE-S5
Joint investment	No	
Synergies achieved at FAB level or other MS	No	
Consultation with stakeholders	Yes	9th and 14th May 2014
Decision-making process	Yes	Opportunity to introduce new more economical solution to provide APP services

PANSA	Revision of National Performance Plan (NPP)
-------	---

Number of capex	14
-----------------	----

Name of capex 9	MLAT for FIR Warszawa
Description	Extension of concept of MLAT for FIR Warszawa
Accountable entity	ANSP-PANSA

Justification of the cost, nature and contribution		
Differentiation	New system	SUR coverage optimization plan combined with gradual implementation of alternative SUR technologies - WAM/ADS-B.
Replacement investment	No	
Common project	No	
Other investment (in line with interoperability Regulations, the IDP, Master Plan essentials or the NSP)	Yes	ACID IR - (EU) No 1206/2011; ATM Master Plan: OI Step: CNS-0003-A; Enabler: CTE-S05, CTE-SGOV02; LSSIP: ITY-ACID
Joint investment	No	
Synergies achieved at FAB level or other MS	No	
Consultation with stakeholders	Yes	19th May 2016
Decision-making process	Yes	Strategic SUR development plan and investment plan, based on revised operational requirements and current system assessment.

KPA	Impact	Expected benefits per KPA	Date of expected benefits	Area <En-route/Terminal/Airport/Phases>
Safety	Yes	Precise identification and determination of the position of aircraft with surveillance information continuity, enable to provide continuous coverage of the area and maintain the current level of security with an increasing air traffic level.	2019	En-route
Environment	No			
Capacity	Yes	Determination the position of the aircraft with the ensuring of continuity of information surveillance, can reduce the aircraft separation and adjusted to increased capacity and reduce air traffic delays.	2019	En-route
Cost efficiency	Yes	Analysis of the investment costs and possible operational and technical solutions lead to the selection of a solution requires the least cost in complying with the operational requirements	2019	En-route

KPA	Impact	Expected benefits per KPA	Date of expected benefits	Area <En-route/Terminal/Airport/Phases>
Safety	Yes	Precise identification and determination of the position of aircraft with surveillance information continuity, enable to provide continuous coverage of the area and maintain the current level of security with an increasing level of air traffic.	2017-2021+	En-route/Terminal
Environment	No	The use of new technologies will reduce the level of transmission of electromagnetic waves and reduce electricity consumption	2017-2021+	En-route/Terminal
Capacity	Yes	Increased precision of aircraft position determination along with ensuring continuity of surveillance information, allows to reduce the separation of aircraft and leads to increased capacity and reduced delays in air traffic.	2017-2021+	En-route/Terminal
Cost efficiency	Yes	Cost savings, complying with the operational requirements, with optimised coverage combined with use alternative SUR technologies	2017-2021+	En-route/Terminal

Name of capex 10	System A-SMGCS
Description	System A-SMGCS
Accountable entity	ANSP-PANSA

Name of capex 10	System A-SMGCS
Description	System A-SMGCS with radiolocation system of aerodrome visualisation
Accountable entity	ANSP-PANSA

Justification of the cost, nature and contribution		
Differentiation	New system	
Replacement investment	No	
Common project	Yes	
Other investment (in line with interoperability Regulations, the IDP, Master Plan essentials or the NSP)	Yes	ESSIP Objectives: AOP04.1; AOP04.2 ATM MP:AO-0201, AO-0102, CTE-S5, CTE-S9
Joint investment	No	
Synergies achieved at FAB level or other MS	No	
Consultation with stakeholders	Yes	9th and 14th May 2014
Decision-making process	Yes	A-SMGCS Level 1 surveillance data may be used to replace visual observation as required, in accordance with ICAO EUR Doc 7030, chapter 6.5.6 (approved March 2009), and as the basis of controller decision making.

Justification of the cost, nature and contribution		
Differentiation	New system	
Replacement investment	No	
Common project	Yes	Together with PPL
Other investment (in line with interoperability Regulations, the IDP, Master Plan essentials or the NSP)	Yes	LSSIP Objectives: AOP04.1; AOP04.2 ATM MP:AO-0201, AO-0102, OI Step: AO-0205, Enabler: AERODROME-ATC-12, AERODROME-ATC-13, AERODROME-ATC-50, PCP: s-AF2.4, s-AF2.5, Deployment Package - Enhanced Airport Safety Nets, Ground Situation Awareness, Integrated Surface Management;
Joint investment	No	
Synergies achieved at FAB level or other MS	No	
Consultation with stakeholders	Yes	19th May 2016
Decision-making process	Yes	A-SMGCS Level 2+ compliant with ETSI EN 303 213 1-4 as an enhanced Warsaw TWR controller decision making tool for both procedural and radar aerodrome surface air traffic control.

KPA	Impact	Expected benefits per KPA	Date of expected benefits	Area <En-route/Terminal/Airport/Phases>
Safety	Yes	Improved situational awareness for aerodrome controllers to ensure the safety of aerodrome operations.	2016	Terminal
Environment	Yes	Reduction of noise and emissions.	2016	Terminal
Capacity	Yes	Ability to maintain traffic throughput during periods when aerodrome traffic can not be observed visually by aerodrome controllers	2016	Terminal
Cost efficiency	Yes	More efficient control of aerodrome surface traffic, leading to a reduction in delay and fuel burn.	2016	Terminal

KPA	Impact	Expected benefits per KPA	Date of expected benefits	Area <En-route/Terminal/Airport/Phases>
Safety	Yes	Improved situational awareness for aerodrome controllers to ensure the safety of aerodrome operations. Implementation of Airport Safety Nets.	2019	Terminal
Environment	Yes	Reduction of noise and fumes emissions.	2019	Terminal
Capacity	Yes	Ability to maintain traffic throughput during periods when aerodrome traffic can not be observed visually by aerodrome controllers	2019	Terminal
Cost efficiency	Yes	More efficient control of aerodrome surface traffic, leading to a reduction in delay and fuel burn.	2019	Terminal

Name of capex 11	Search & Rescue infrastructure
------------------	--------------------------------

Name of capex 11	Search & Rescue infrastructure
------------------	--------------------------------

PANSA	Initial NPP
-------	-------------

Number of capex	14
Description	S&R (Search & Rescue infrastructure)
Accountable entity	ANSP-PANSA

Justification of the cost, nature and contribution		
Differentiation	New system	
Replacement investment	No	
Common project	No	
Other investment (in line with interoperability Regulations, the IDP, Master Plan essentials or the NSP)	No	
Joint investment	No	
Synergies achieved at FAB level or other MS	Yes	This project is a part of (3.4) Implementation Plan
Consultation with stakeholders	Yes	9th and 14th May 2014
Decision-making process	Yes	Investment plan elaboration procedure. Provision of activities in the crisis situation.

KPA	Impact	Expected benefits per KPA	Date of expected benefits	Area <En-route/Terminal/Airport/Phases>
Safety	Yes	Coordination Centre for Search and Rescue Air ARCC project in view of the amendment to the airline and the resulting regulations of the Minister of Infrastructure will be located in the Polish Air Navigation Services Agency.	2017	En-route/Terminal
Environment	No			
Capacity	No			
Cost efficiency	No			

Name of capex 12	Pegasus ATM system and and supporting systems
Description	Pegasus ATM system and and supporting systems
Accountable entity	ANSP-PANSA

Justification of the cost, nature and contribution		
Differentiation	New system	
Replacement investment	Yes	
Common project	No	
Other investment (in line with interoperability Regulations, the IDP, Master Plan essentials or the NSP)	Yes	IS-0901; CM-0202; CM-0203
Joint investment	No	
Synergies achieved at FAB level or other MS	Yes	This project is a part of (2.2) Implementation Plan
Consultation with stakeholders	Yes	9th and 14th May 2014
Decision-making process	Yes	Investment plan elaboration procedure

KPA	Impact	Expected benefits per KPA	Date of expected benefits	Area <En-route/Terminal/Airport/Phases>
Safety	Yes	Enhanced airspace management adjusted to traffic volume in FIR Warsaw and maintainance or increase of air traffic safety	2015-2018	En-route/ Terminal
Environment	No	Airspace capacity increase; enabler for implementation of the vertical split	2015-2018	En-route/ Terminal
Capacity	Yes			
Cost efficiency	Yes	ATCOs productivity increase	2015-2018	En-route/ Terminal

Name of capex 13	ATM Systems inspection aircraft
Description	ATM Systems inspection aircraft

PANSA	Revision of National Performance Plan (NPP)
-------	---

Number of capex	14
Description	As a result of change of legal provisions (Regulation of The Minister of Infrastructure and Construction of 27 August 2015) PANSA is not obliged to build system alone. Reprioritised.
Accountable entity	ANSP-PANSA

Justification of the cost, nature and contribution		
Differentiation	New system	
Replacement investment	No	
Common project	No	
Other investment (in line with interoperability Regulations, the IDP, Master Plan essentials or the NSP)	No	
Joint investment	No	
Synergies achieved at FAB level or other MS	Yes	
Consultation with stakeholders	Yes	19th May 2016
Decision-making process	Yes	

KPA	Impact	Expected benefits per KPA	Date of expected benefits	Area <En-route/Terminal/Airport/Phases>
Safety	Yes	Coordination between Civil and Military authorities; Promotion of Safety Program, Contingency Plan; Exchange of information - enhancing communication;		
Environment	No			
Capacity	Yes			
Cost efficiency	Yes			

Name of capex 12	Pegasus ATM system and supporting systems
Description	Pegasus ATM system and supporting systems
Accountable entity	ANSP-PANSA

Justification of the cost, nature and contribution		
Differentiation	New system	
Replacement investment	Yes	
Common project	No	
Other investment (in line with interoperability Regulations, the IDP, Master Plan essentials or the NSP)	Yes	IDP Activity 1 - WP1.1; PCP: AF3: Flexible Airspace Management and Free Route, s-AF3.2 Free Route, Family 3.2.1: Upgrade of ATM systems to support DCT and Free Route; ATM MP Operational Improvement Steps: AOM-0500, AOM-0501; CM-0105-A, CM-0202. LSSIP Objectives: AOM21.1 AOM21.2; ATC02.7; ITY-AGDL; FCM05
Joint investment	No	
Synergies achieved at FAB level or other MS	Yes	This project is a part of (2.2) Implementation Plan
Consultation with stakeholders	Yes	19th May 2016
Decision-making process	Yes	Investment plan elaboration procedure

KPA	Impact	Expected benefits per KPA	Date of expected benefits	Area <En-route/Terminal/Airport/Phases>
Safety	Yes	Enhanced air traffic management capabilities adjusted to traffic volume in FIR Warsaw and maintainance or increase of air traffic safety	2016-2019	En-route/ Terminal
Environment	No	Reductions in emissions through use of more optimal routes		
Capacity	Yes	Airspace capacity increase; enabler for implementation of the vertical split for Warsaw ACC. Slightly increased through the better airspace utilisation to enhance productivity and reduce controller workload	2016-2019	En-route/ Terminal
Cost efficiency	Yes	ATCOs productivity increase. Savings in route distances as well as better fuel efficiency through increased use of preferred flight profiles and improved sectorisation	2016-2019	

Name of capex 13	ATM Systems inspection aircraft
Description	ATM Systems inspection aircraft

PANSA	Initial NPP
Number of capex	14
Accountable entity	ANSP-PANSA

Justification of the cost, nature and contribution		
Differentiation	<i>Overhaul of existing system</i>	
Replacement investment	Yes	
Common project	No	
Other investment (in line with interoperability Regulations, the IDP, Master Plan essentials or the NSP)	No	
Joint investment	No	
Synergies achieved at FAB level or other MS	Yes	This project is a part of (3.2) Implementation Plan
Consultation with stakeholders	Yes	9th and 14th May 2014
Decision-making process	Yes	Investment plan elaboration procedure

KPA	Impact	Expected benefits per KPA	Date of expected benefits	Area <En-route/Terminal/Airport/Phases>
Safety	Yes	To increase the possibility to immediately make the necessary inspection flight, before taking the NAV etc. infrastructure into use.	2016	En-route
Environment	No			
Capacity	Yes	To increase the possibility to immediately make the necessary inspection flight, before taking the NAV etc. infrastructure into use.	2016	En-route
Cost efficiency	Yes	ANSP will not have costs related with the provision of services by external provider.	2016	En-route

Name of capex 14	AIM- Aeronautical Information Management
Description	(System AIXM5.1; IWB System) System AIXM5.1 project is fully compliant to the Global Air Navigation Plan (Doc 9750) which was developed as a strategic document to guide the implementation of CNS/ATM systems with respect to the Global Air Traffic Management Operational Concept (Doc 9854) and the Strategic Objectives of ICAO.
Accountable entity	ANSP-PANSA

Justification of the cost, nature and contribution		
Differentiation	<i>New system</i>	
Replacement investment	No	
Common project	No	
Other investment (in line with interoperability Regulations, the IDP, Master Plan essentials or the NSP)	Yes	ESSIP Objectives: ITY_ADQ, INF-04 ATM MP: IS-0202; IS-0404
Joint investment	No	
Synergies achieved at FAB level or other MS	Yes	This project is a part of (2.4) Implementation Plan
Consultation with stakeholders	Yes	9th and 14th May 2014
Decision-making process	Yes	The goal is to provide a service migration from manual processing and management of documents published in the paper version to an electronic one

KPA	Impact	Expected benefits per KPA	Date of expected benefits	Area <En-route/Terminal/Airport/Phases>
Safety	No	To assure the flight data in the relevant as short as possible time. Minimisation of the number of possible errors in the data systems of the ATM and the Integrated Aeronautical Information.	2019	En-route/ Terminal
Environment	No			
Capacity	No	Improving access to current flight data will allow a quick response to changes in the airspace and reduce the need for restrictions	2019	En-route/ Terminal
Cost efficiency	Yes	Creating dedicated products for the needs of airspace users	2019	En-route/ Terminal

PANSA	Revision of National Performance Plan (NPP)
Number of capex	14
Accountable entity	ANSP-PANSA

Justification of the cost, nature and contribution		
Differentiation	<i>Overhaul of existing system</i>	Executed in 2015. Required for control flights.
Replacement investment	Yes	
Common project	No	
Other investment (in line with interoperability Regulations, the IDP, Master Plan essentials or the NSP)	No	
Joint investment	No	
Synergies achieved at FAB level or other MS	Yes	This project is a part of (3.2) Implementation Plan
Consultation with stakeholders	Yes	19th May 2016
Decision-making process	Yes	Investment plan elaboration procedure

KPA	Impact	Expected benefits per KPA	Date of expected benefits	Area <En-route/Terminal/Airport/Phases>
Safety	Yes	New features of the aircraft and Flight Inspection System increases the abilities of flight inspection and provides better availability of the aircraft.	2016-2020+	En-route
Environment	No			
Capacity	Yes	Flight inspection is an important part of the flight procedures validation process, the implementation of the procedures increases the capacity of the airspace. The same with NAV Aids.	2016-2020+	En-route
Cost efficiency	Yes	ANSP will not have costs related with the provision of services by external provider.	2016-2020+	En-route

Name of capex 14	AIM- Aeronautical Information Management
Description	(System AIXM5.1; IWB/GIS System) System AIXM5.1 project is fully compliant to the Global Air Navigation Plan (Doc 9750) which was developed as a strategic document to guide the implementation of CNS/ATM systems with respect to the Global Air Traffic Management Operational Concept (Doc 9854) and the Strategic Objectives of ICAO.
Accountable entity	ANSP-PANSA

Justification of the cost, nature and contribution		
Differentiation	<i>New system</i>	
Replacement investment	No	
Common project	No	
Other investment (in line with interoperability Regulations, the IDP, Master Plan essentials or the NSP)	Yes	ELSIP Objectives: ITY_ADQ, INF-04; ATM MP: OI; Steps: IS-0205, Enabler: AIMS-07a, AIMS-19a, AIMS-06; Deployment Package: Digital Briefing;
Joint investment	No	
Synergies achieved at FAB level or other MS	Yes	This project is a part of (2.4) Implementation Plan
Consultation with stakeholders	Yes	19th May 2016
Decision-making process	Yes	The goal is to provide a service migration from manual processing and management of documents published in the paper version to an electronic one

KPA	Impact	Expected benefits per KPA	Date of expected benefits	Area <En-route/Terminal/Airport/Phases>
Safety	No	To assure the flight data in the relevant as short as possible time. Minimisation of the number of possible errors in the data systems of the ATM and the Integrated Aeronautical Information.	2019	En-route/ Terminal
Environment	No	Reductions in emissions through use of more optimal routes.		
Capacity	No	Improving access to current flight data will allow a quick response to changes in the airspace and reduce the need for restrictions	2019	En-route/ Terminal
Cost efficiency	Yes	Creating dedicated products for the needs of airspace users. Optimising of ATCO resources will provide substantial cost effectiveness if this tool is coupled with the resource management tool.	2019	En-route/ Terminal